County of	Forest		} ss.
certify that or of July evidence of the corner of Iownship Last as described he contion of this location of this	n the 2. Y. East Section AΩ For ereon; and a new mothereon to	7th 19.8 quarter 22 North Princip that from to nument and	day 9, I found orth, Range al Meridian, his evidence i accessories
Resident witnesses:			
	,.,,		
		- 	
	_ _	!— — — —	
	SECŤIC	N_22_	
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	•	 	
		' · 	
- 1		l 1	
Corner monument restored.			

State of Wisconsin

History of original corner establishment: Dependent resurvey in 1950 by Hugh B. Crawford. N 00009'E between Sections 22 and 23 at 36.00 chains. Corner marked by an iron pipe, 2" diameter set at the SE corner of a fence and at proportionate distance, replaced pipe with an iron post, 30" long, $2\frac{1}{2}$ " diameter, 26" in the ground with brass cap | marked T40N, R14E, 1/4, S-22, S-23, 1950 from which the following bear: Balsam Maple 19½ links In 1963, Jean Resvick U.S.F.S. scribed a yellow birch, N 35030'E, 6", 12.80 feet. Description of corner evidence found: Found monument as described above from which the following bear: Sugar Maple 12.91 feet 12.75 feet Yellow Birch Description of monument and accessories I established to perpetuate the original location of this corner. Blazed and scribed the following new bearing trees (measurements taken to a nail in side of tree): 11.38 feet Sugar Maple Steel sign post South 1.3 feet Dated at Iron River, MI , Was, this 9th day of December , 1989 ... Signature Xand Surveyor Title Land Surveyor Registration No. S.-1634...
(County Surveyor, Registered Land Surveyor, or other duly authorized official) Office of Register of Deeds, County of MOZEST day of September 19.90. at/0:45 o'clock A. M. (Register of Deeds)

e) Describe any material discrepancy between the location of the corner as restored or reestablished and the location of that corner as previously restored or reestablished by distance and direction. Show the discrepancy on the plan view drawing under (d), above. Show the distances between the corner as previously restored or reestablished and (1) the corner as restored or reestablished, and (2) to at least 2 of the witness monuments shown on the drawing in (d), above.

See drawing below

(r) was the corner restored through acceptance of (1) an obliterated evidence location, or, (2) a found perpetuated location?

Restored through acceptance of a found perpetuated location

(9kh) Was the corner reestablished through lost corner proportionate methods? If so, show the method, including the directions and distances to other public land survey corners used as evidence or used for proportioning in determining the corner location?

